Exhibit D

Case 4:17-cv-00900 Document 1-5 Filed in TXSD on 03/23/17 Page 2 of 18



Service of Process **Transmittal**

02/23/2017

CT Log Number 530743210

Scott R. St. John, Chief Claims Officer UPC Insurance (NASDAQ: UIHC) TO:

800 2nd Avenue South Saint Petersburg, FL 33701

RE: **Process Served in Texas**

FOR: United Property & Casualty Insurance Company (Domestic State: FL)

ENCLOSED ARE COPIES OF LEGAL PROCESS RECEIVED BY THE STATUTORY AGENT OF THE ABOVE COMPANY AS FOLLOWS:

TITLE OF ACTION: ADRIANA VILLALOBOS, Pltf. vs. UNITED PROPERTY & CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY,

DOCUMENT(S) SERVED: Citation, Petition

COURT/AGENCY: 133rd Judicial District Court, Harris County, TX

Case # 201711601

NATURE OF ACTION: Defendant breached its obligations under the agreement by exercising its right to

ensure service

ON WHOM PROCESS WAS SERVED: C T Corporation System, Dallas, TX

DATE AND HOUR OF SERVICE: By Certified Mail on 02/23/2017 postmarked on 02/21/2017

JURISDICTION SERVED: Texas

APPEARANCE OR ANSWER DUE: By 10:00 a.m. on the Monday next after the expiration of 20 days after you were

served (Document(s) may contain additional answer dates)

ATTORNEY(S) / SENDER(S):

Chad T. Wilson CHAD T. WILSON LAW FIRM PLLC 1322 Space Park Drive, Suite A155 Houston, TX 77058 (832) 415-1432

ACTION ITEMS: SOP Papers with Transmittal, via UPS Next Day Air, 1Z0399EX0112763511

Image SOP

Email Notification, Legal Department LAWSUIT@upcinsurance.com

Email Notification, Robin Stokes rstokes@upcinsurance.com

Email Notification, Debbie Cunningham dcunningham@upcinsurance.com

Email Notification, Thom Ferris tferris@upcinsurance.com

SIGNED: C T Corporation System ADDRESS:

1999 Bryan Street

Suite 900

Dallas, TX 75201 214-932-3601 TELEPHONE:

Page 1 of 1 / VS

Information displayed on this transmittal is for CT Corporation's record keeping purposes only and is provided to the recipient for quick reference. This information does not constitute a legal opinion as to the nature of action, the amount of damages, the answer date, or any information contained in the documents themselves. Recipient is responsible for interpreting said documents and for taking appropriate action. Signatures on certified mail receipts confirm receipt of package only, not contents.



HARRIS COUNTY DISTRICT CLERK P.O. Box 4651 HOUSTON, TEXAS 77210-4651





047J82004178 \$ 07.7 10 02/21/2017 Mailed From 77002 US POSTAGE

United Property and Casualty Insurance Company
Lip By serving through its registered agent it B
CT Corporation System
Lipsy Byran Street Suite 900
Dalias, Texas (75201-3136)

CAUSE NO. 201711601

RECEIPT NO.

75.00

CTM

TR # 73343456

PLAINTIFF: VILLALOBOS, ADRIANA

DEFENDANT: UNITED PROPERTY AND CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY

In The 133rd Judicial District Court of Harris County, Texas 133RD DISTRICT COURT Houston, TX

CITATION (CERTIFIED)

THE STATE OF TEXAS County of Harris

TO: UNITED PROPERTY AND CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY BY SERVING THROUGH ITS REGISTERED AGENT CT CORPORATION SYSTEM

1999 BRYAN STREET SUITE 900 DALLAS TX 75201 - 3136

Attached is a copy of ORIGINAL PETITION JURY DEMAND AND REQUEST FOR DISCLOSURE

This instrument was filed on the 20th day of February, 2017, in the above cited cause number and court. The instrument attached describes the claim against you.

YOU HAVE BEEN SUED, You may employ an attorney. If you or your attorney do not file a written answer with the District Clerk who issued this citation by 10:00 a.m on the Monday next following the expiration of 20 days after you were served this citation and petition, a default judgment may be taken against you.

TO OFFICER SERVING:

This citation was issued on 21st day of February, 2017, under my hand and seal of said Court.

Issued at request of: WILSON, CHAD TROY 1322 SPACE PARK DRIVE SUITE A155 HOUSTON, TX 77058 Tel: (832) 415-1432

Bar No.: 24079587



CHRIS DANIEL, District Clerk Harris County, Texas 201 Caroline, Houston, Texas 77002 (P.O. Box 4651, Houston, Texas 77210)

Generated By: CUERO, NELSON 7MM//10614430

CLERK'S	RETURN BY MAILING
Came to hand the day of mailing to Defendant certified mail, return copy of this citation together ORIGINAL PETITION JURY DEMAND AND REQUEST to the following addressee at address:	n receipt requested, restricted delivery, a true with an attached copy of
	ADDRESS
	Service was executed in accordance with Rule 106
(a) ADDRESSEE	(2) TRCP, upon the Defendant as evidenced by the return receipt incorporated herein and attached hereto at
	on day of,,
	by U.S. Postal delivery to
	This citation was not executed for the following reason:
	CHRIS DANIEL, District Clerk Harris County, TEXAS
40	By, Deputy

2/20/2017 5:18:21 PM Chris Daniel - District Clerk Harris County Envelope No. 15417563 By: Nelson Cuero

Filed: 2/20/2017 5:18:21 PM

2017-11601 / Court: 133

CAUSE NO.	- 0-200	
and the second second second second second second	 	

ADRIANA VILLALOBOS,	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
Plaintiff,	§	9
Section of the control of the contro	§	
V	§	
	§	HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
UNITED PROPERTY & CASUALTY	§	
INSURANCE COMPANY,	§	9
Defendant,	§	DISTRICT COURT

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION, JURY DEMAND, AND REQUEST FOR DISCLOSURE

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COMES NOW Adriana Villalobos, Plaintiff herein, and files **Plaintiff's Original Petition**, **Jury Demand, and Request for Disclosure**, complaining of United Property & Casualty

Insurance Company ("UPC" or "Defendant") and for cause of action. Plaintiff respectfully shows the following:

DISCOVERY CONTROL PLAN

 Plaintiff intends to conduct discovery under Level 3, Texas Rules of Civil Procedure 190.4 and 169.

PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff, Adriana Villalobos, resides in Harris County, Texas.
- Defendant, United Property & Casualty Insurance Company, is a Texas insurance company engaged in the business of insurance in the State of Texas. Plaintiff requests service of citation upon United Property & Casualty Insurance Company through its registered agent for service: CT Corporation System, 1999 Bryan Street, Suite 900, Dallas, Texas 75201-3136. Plaintiff requests service at this time.

JURISDICTION

4. The Court has jurisdiction over UPC because this Defendant engages in the business of insurance in the State of Texas, and the causes of action arise out of UPC's business activities in the state, including those in Harris County, Texas, with reference to this specific case.

VENUE

Venue is proper in Harris County, Texas because the insured property is located in Harris
County, Texas, and all or a substantial part of the events giving rise to this lawsuit occurred
in Harris County, Texas. TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE § 15.032.

FACTS

- Plaintiff asserts claims for fraud, breach of contract, violations of sections 541 and 542 of the Texas Insurance Code, and violations of the Texas DTPA.
- 7. Plaintiff owns a United Property & Casualty Insurance Company homeowner's insurance policy, number UTH03233274200 ("the Policy"). At all relevant times, Plaintiff owned the insured premises located at 18914 Dural Drive, Houston, Texas 77094 ("the Property").
 - 8. UPC or its agent sold the Policy, insuring the Property, to Plaintiff. UPC or its agent represented to Plaintiff that the Policy included wind and hailstorm coverage for damage to Plaintiff's home. UPC has refused the full extent of that coverage currently owed to Plaintiff.
 - On or about April 18, 2016, the Property sustained extensive damage resulting from a severe storm that passed through the Harris County, Texas area.

- In the aftermath of the wind and hailstorm, Plaintiff submitted a claim to UPC against the Policy for damage to the Property. UPC assigned claim number 2016TX022035 to Plaintiff's claim.
- 11. Plaintiff asked UPC to cover the cost of damage to the Property pursuant to the Policy.
- 12. UPC hired or assigned its agent adjuster to inspect and adjust the claim. The agent conducted an inspection on or about December 1, 2016. The agent's findings generated an estimate of damages totaling \$270.72. After application of depreciation, and a deductible of \$2,400.00, Plaintiff was left without recovery to make necessary repairs to her home.
- 13. UPC, through its agent, conducted a substandard and improper inspection of the Property, which grossly undervalued the cost of repairs in its estimate and yielded an unrealistic amount to underpay coverage.
- 14. UPC has ultimately refused full coverage which includes, but is not limited to, replacement of the roof and additional exterior damage not reported in UPC's estimate. Specifically, UPC's second estimate only reported damage to 3 shingles. However, the third party inspector hired by Plaintiff to review the damage to the Property uncovered extensive damage to Plaintiff's roof and its fixtures. The covered damage UPC's adjuster willfully ignored includes but is not limited to: 30 square of shingles, roofing felt, ridge cap, drip edge, asphalt starter, valley metal, chimney flashing, pipe jack flashing, ridge vent, roof vent, exhaust cap, furnace vent, and the gutters and downspouts.
- 15. The damage to Plaintiff's Property is currently estimated at \$24,157.90.

- 16. As stated above, UPC improperly and unreasonably adjusted Plaintiff's claim. Without limitation, UPC misrepresented the cause of, scope of, and cost to repair damages to Plaintiff's Property, as well as the amount of insurance coverage for Plaintiff's claim or loss under the Policy.
- 17. Since due demand was made on December 7, 2016, UPC has not communicated that any future settlements or payments would be forthcoming to pay for the entire loss covered under the Policy, nor did it provide any explanation for failing to settle Plaintiff's claim properly.
- 18. As a result of UPC's failure to provide full coverage, along with UPC's delay tactics to avoid reasonable payment to Plaintiff, Plaintiff has suffered damages.
- 19. UPC failed to perform its contractual duties to Plaintiff under the terms of the Policy. Specifically, UPC refused to pay the full proceeds of the Policy, although due demand was made for an amount sufficient to cover repairs to the damaged Property, and all conditions precedent to recover upon the Policy were accomplished by Plaintiff.
- 20. Defendant's misrepresentations, unreasonable delays, and continued denials constitute a breach of the statutory obligations under Chapters 541 and 542 of the Texas Insurance Code. Thus, the breach of the statutory duties constitutes the foundation of a breach of the insurance contract between UPC and Plaintiff.
- 21. Defendant's conduct constitutes a violation of the Texas Insurance Code, Unfair Settlement Practices. TEX. INS. CODE §541.060(a)(1). Defendant has not attempted to settle Plaintiff's claim in a fair manner, even though Defendant was aware of its liability to Plaintiff under the Policy. Specifically, Defendant has failed to timely pay Plaintiff's coverage due under the Policy.

- 22. Defendant's conduct constitutes a violation of the Texas Insurance Code. Unfair Settlement Practices. TEX. INS. CODE §541.060(a)(2)(A). Defendant failed to provide Plaintiff a reasonable explanation for not making the full payment under the terms of the Policy.
- Defendant's conduct constitutes a violation of the Texas Insurance Code, Unfair Settlement Practices. TEX. INS. CODE §541.060(a)(4). Defendant refused to provide full coverage due to Plaintiff under the terms of the Policy. Specifically, UPC, through its agents, servants, and representatives, performed an outcome-oriented investigation of Plaintiff's claim, which resulted in a biased, unfair, and inequitable evaluation of Plaintiff's losses on the Property.
- 24. Defendant's conduct constitutes a violation of the Texas Insurance Code, Prompt Payment of Claims. TEX. INS. CODE §542.055. Defendant failed to reasonably accept or deny Plaintiff's full claim within the statutorily mandated time after receiving all necessary information.
- 25. Defendant's conduct constitutes a violation of the Texas Insurance Code, Prompt Payment of Claims. TEX. INS. CODE §542.056. Defendant failed to meet its obligations under the Texas Insurance Code regarding timely payment of the claim. Specifically, Defendant has delayed payment of Plaintiff's claim longer than allowed, and Plaintiff has not received full payment for her claim.
- 26. Defendant's wrongful acts and omissions forced Plaintiff to retain the professional services of the attorneys and law firm representing her with respect to these causes of action.

CAUSES OF ACTION AGAINST DEFENDANT UNITED PROPERTY & CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY

 All paragraphs from the fact section of this petition are hereby incorporated into this section.

BREACH OF CONTRACT

- 28. UPC is liable to Plaintiff for intentional violations of the Texas Insurance Code, and intentional breach of the common law duty of good faith and fair dealing. It follows, then, that the breach of the statutory duties constitutes the foundation of an intentional breach of the insurance contract between UPC and Plaintiff.
- 29. UPC's failure and/or refusal to pay adequate coverage as obligated under the terms of the Policy, and under the laws of the State of Texas, constitutes a breach of the insurance contract with Plaintiff.

NONCOMPLIANCE WITH THE TEXAS INSURANCE CODE: UNFAIR SETTLEMENT PRACTICES

- 30. UPC's conduct constitutes multiple violations of the Texas Insurance Code, Unfair Settlement Practices. TEX. INS. CODE §541.060(a). All violations under this article are actionable by TEX. INS. CODE §541.151.
- 31. UPC's unfair settlement practice of misrepresenting to Plaintiff material facts relating to coverage constitutes an unfair method of competition and a deceptive act or practice in the business of insurance. TEX. INS. CODE §541.060(a)(1).
- 32. UPC's unfair settlement practice of failing to attempt in good faith to make a prompt, fair, and equitable settlement of the claim, even though liability under the Policy was reasonably clear, constitutes an unfair method of competition and a deceptive act or practice in the business of insurance. TEX. INS. CODE §541.060(a)(2)(A).

- 33. UPC's unfair settlement practice of failing to provide Plaintiff a prompt and reasonable explanation of the basis in the Policy, in relation to the facts or applicable law, for partial denial of the claim, constitutes an unfair method of competition and a deceptive act or practice in the business of insurance. TEX. INS. CODE §541.060(a)(3).
- 34. UPC's unfair settlement practice of failing within a reasonable time to affirm or deny coverage of the claim to Plaintiff constitutes an unfair method of competition and a deceptive act or practice in the business of insurance. TEX. INS. CODE §541.060(a)(4).
- 35. UPC's unfair settlement practice of refusing to pay Plaintiff's claim without conducting a reasonable investigation constitutes an unfair method of competition and a deceptive act or practice in the business of insurance. TEX. INS. CODE §541.060(a)(7).

NONCOMPLIANCE WITH THE TEXAS INSURANCE CODE: THE PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS

- 36. UPC's conduct constitutes multiple violations of the Texas Insurance Code, Prompt Payment of Claims. All violations made under this article are actionable by TEX. INS. CODE §542.060.
- 37. UPC's failure to notify Plaintiff in writing of its acceptance or rejection of the full claim within the applicable time constraints constitutes a non-prompt payment in violation of TEX. INS. CODE §542.056.
- 38. UPC's delay in paying Plaintiff's claim following receipt of all items, statements, and forms reasonably requested and required, for longer than the amount of time provided, constitutes a non-prompt payment of the claim. TEX. INS. CODE §542.058.

BREACH OF THE DUTY OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING

- 39. UPC's conduct constitutes a breach of the common law duty of good faith and fair dealing owed to an insured in insurance contracts.
- 40. UPC's failure to adequately and reasonably investigate and evaluate Plaintiff's claim, although, at that time, UPC knew or should have known by the exercise of reasonable diligence that liability was reasonably clear, constitutes a breach of the duty of good faith and fair dealing.

DTPA VIOLATIONS

- 41. UPC's conduct constitutes multiple violations of the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act ("DTPA"), TEX. BUS. & COM. CODE 17.41-63. Plaintiff is a consumer of goods and services provided by UPC pursuant to the DTPA. Plaintiff has met all conditions precedent to bringing this cause of action against UPC. Specifically, UPC's violations of the DTPA include, without limitation, the following matters:
 - A. By its acts, omissions, failures, and conduct, UPC has violated sections 17.46(b)(2).
 (5), (7), (9), (12), (20) and (24) of the DTPA. UPC's violations include without limitation, (1) unreasonable delays in the investigation, adjustment, and resolution of Plaintiff's claim, (2) failure to give Plaintiff the benefit of the doubt, and (3) failure to pay for the proper repair of Plaintiff's property when liability has become reasonably clear, which gives Plaintiff the right to recover under section 17.46(b)(2).
 - B. UPC represented to Plaintiff that the Policy and UPC's adjusting and investigative services had characteristics or benefits that they did not possess, which gives Plaintiff the right to recover under section 17.46(b)(5) of the DTPA.

- C. UPC also represented to Plaintiff that the Policy and UPC's adjusting services were of a particular standard, quality, or grade when they were of another, in violation of section 17.46(b)(7) of the DTPA.
- D. Furthermore, UPC advertised the Policy and adjusting services with the intent not to sell them as advertised, in violation of section 17.46(b)(9) of the DTPA.
- E. UPC breached an express warranty that the damages caused by wind and hail would be covered under the Policy. This breach entitles Plaintiff to recover under sections 17.46(b)(12) and (20) and 17.50(a)(2) of the DTPA.
- F. UPC's actions are unconscionable in that UPC took advantage of Plaintiff's lack of knowledge, ability, and experience to a grossly unfair degree. UPC's unconscionable conduct gives Plaintiff a right to relief under section 17.50(a)(3) of the DTPA; and
- G. UPC's conduct, acts, omissions, and failures, as described in this petition, are unfair practices in the business of insurance in violation of section 17.50(a)(4) of the DTPA.
- 42. Each of the above-described acts, omissions, and failures of UPC is a producing cause of Plaintiff's damages. All of the above-described acts, omissions, and failures were committed "knowingly" and "intentionally," as defined by the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act.

FRAUD

- 43. UPC is liable to Plaintiff for common law fraud.
- 44. Each and every misrepresentation described above concerned material facts that absent such representations, Plaintiff would not have acted as she did, and UPC knew its representations were false or made recklessly without any knowledge of their truth as a positive assertion.
- 45. UPC made the statements intending that Plaintiff act upon them. Plaintiff then acted in reliance upon the statements, thereby causing Plaintiff to suffer injury constituting common law fraud.

KNOWLEDGE

46. Defendant made each of the acts described above, together and singularly, "knowingly," as defined in the Texas Insurance Code, and each was a producing cause of Plaintiff's damages described herein.

WAIVER AND ESTOPPEL

47. Defendant waived and is estopped from asserting any coverage defenses, conditions, exclusions, or exceptions to coverage not contained in any reservation of rights letter to Plaintiff.

DAMAGES

48. Since the claim was made, UPC has not properly compensated Plaintiff for all necessary repairs made, which are covered under the Policy. This has caused undue hardship and burden to Plaintiff. These damages are a direct result of Defendant's mishandling of Plaintiff's claim in violation of the laws set forth above.

- 49. Defendant made the above and other false representations to Plaintiff, either knowingly or recklessly, as a positive assertion, without knowledge of the truth. Defendant made these false misrepresentations with the intent that Plaintiff act in accordance with the misrepresentations. Plaintiff then relied on these misrepresentations, including but not limited to those regarding coverage and the cause and scope of damage. Plaintiff suffered damages as a result.
- 50. Plaintiff would show that all of the aforementioned acts, taken together or singularly, constitute the producing causes of damages sustained. The acts, omissions, failures, and conduct of Defendant has caused Plaintiff's damages, which include, without limitation, costs for all necessary repairs required to be made to Plaintiff's Property, and any investigative and engineering fees incurred.
- 51. For breach of contract, Plaintiff is entitled to regain the benefit of her bargain, which is the amount of her claim, consequential damages, together with attorney's fees.
- 52. The damage on Plaintiff's Property is estimated at \$24,157.90.
- 53. For noncompliance with the DTPA and Texas Insurance Code, Unfair Settlement Practices, Plaintiff is entitled to actual damages, which include the loss of the benefits owed pursuant to the Policy, court costs, and attorney's fees. For knowing and intentional conduct of the acts described above, Plaintiff asks for three (3) times her actual damages. TEX. INS. CODE §541.152 and TEX. BUS. & COM. CODE 17.50(B)(1).
- 54. For noncompliance with Texas Insurance Code, Prompt Payment of Claims, Plaintiff is entitled to the amount of her claim, plus an eighteen percent (18%) per annum penalty on that claim, as damages, as well as pre-judgment interest and reasonable attorney's fees. TEX. INS. CODE §542.060.

- 55. For breach of the common law duty of good faith and fair dealing, Plaintiff is entitled to compensatory damages, including all forms of loss resulting from Defendant's breach of duty, such as additional costs, economic hardship, losses due to nonpayment of money UPC owed, and exemplary damages.
- Defendant's breach of the common law duty of good faith and fair dealing was committed intentionally, with a conscious indifference to Plaintiff's rights and welfare, and with "malice," as that term is defined in Chapter 41 of the Texas Civil Practices and Remedies Code. These violations are the type of conduct which the State of Texas protects its citizens against by the imposition of exemplary damages. Therefore, Plaintiff seeks the recovery of exemplary damages in an amount determined by the finder of fact sufficient to punish Defendant for its wrongful conduct and to set an example to deter Defendant and others from committing similar acts in the future.
- 57. For fraud, Plaintiff is entitled to recover actual and exemplary damages for knowingly fraudulent and malicious representations, along with attorney's fees, interest, and court costs.
- 58. For the prosecution and collection of this claim, Plaintiff has been compelled to engage the services of the attorneys subscribed to this pleading. Therefore, under Chapter 38 of the Texas Civil Practices and Remedies Code, sections 541 and 542 of the Texas Insurance Code, and section 17.50 of the DTPA, Plaintiff is entitled to recover a sum for the reasonable and necessary services of Plaintiff's attorneys in the preparation and trial of this action, including any appeals to the Court of Appeals and/or the Supreme Court of Texas.

As required by Rule 47(b) of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff's counsel states that the damages sought are in an amount within the jurisdictional limits of this Court. As required by Rule 47(c)(1) of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff's counsel states that Plaintiff seeks only monetary relief of \$100,000 or less, including damages of any kind, penalties, costs, expenses, pre-judgment interest, and attorney's fees. This statement from Plaintiff's counsel is made only for the purpose of compliance with Tex. R. Civ. P. 47, and the amount in controversy does not exceed \$75,000 at this time. Plaintiff also seeks pre-judgment and post-judgment interest at the highest legal rate.

REQUESTS FOR DISCLOSURE

60. Under Texas Rules of Civil Procedure 190 and 194, Plaintiff requests that Defendant disclose, within fifty (50) days from the date this request is served, the information or material described in Rules 190.2(b)(6) and 194.2.

JURY DEMAND

61. Plaintiff hereby requests a jury trial for all causes of action alleged herein, tried before a jury consisting of citizens residing in Harris County, Texas. Plaintiff hereby tenders the appropriate jury fee.

PRAYER

Plaintiff prays that Defendant, United Property & Casualty Insurance Company, be cited and served to appear, and that upon trial hereof, Plaintiff, Adriana Villalobos, have and recover from Defendant, United Property & Casualty Insurance Company, such sums as would reasonably and justly compensate Plaintiff in accordance with the rules of law and procedure, as to actual, consequential, and treble damages under the Texas Insurance Code and Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act, and all punitive, additional, and exemplary damages, as may be found. In addition,

Plaintiff requests the award of attorney's fees for the trial and any appeal of this case, for all costs of Court expended on Plaintiff's behalf, for pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as allowed by law; and for any other and further relief, at law or in equity, to which Plaintiff. Adriana Villalobos, may show herself justly entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

CHAD T. WILSON LAW FIRM PLLC

By: /s/ Chad T. Wilson

Chad T. Wilson
Bar No. 24079587
Stephen Mengis
Bar No. 24094842
1322 Space Park Drive, Suite A155
Houston, Texas 77058
Telephone: (832) 415-1432
Facsimile: (281) 940-2137
eService to:
eservice@cwilsonlaw.com
cwilson@cwilsonlaw.com
smengis@cwilsonlaw.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF